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#### Abstract

N, N^{\prime}\)-Dicyclohexylpiperazine $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide octahydrate, $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10}, M_{r}=426.55$, monoclinic, space group $C 2 / m$ (No. 12), $a=12.961(4), b=11.533(4), c=7.907(1) \AA, \beta=98.37(2)^{\circ}, V=1169.3(6) \AA^{3}, Z=2$. The structure was solved by the direct method and refined to $R=0.045$ for 1192 observed Mo $K_{\alpha}$ reflections. The $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide molecule occupies a site of symmetry $2 / \mathrm{m}$. The piperazine ring takes the chair form with the two $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds oriented axially in a trans configuration. Hydrogen bonding between the water molecules, as well as between the $N$-oxide groups and water molecules, gives rise to a puckered layer composed of edge-sharing four-membered, five-membered, six-membered, and eight-membered rings. Adjacent layers are cross-linked by the $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylpiperazine moieties lying between them, thereby generating a 'sandwich' structure consolidated by covalent and hydrogen bonding.
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## 1. Introduction
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In a previous paper, we described the crystal structure of $N, N^{\prime}$-diphenylpiperazine $N, N^{\prime}-$ dioxide octahydrate, $\mathbf{1}$ [1], which is characterized by strong hydrogen bonding between the water molecules, as well as between the $N$-oxide groups and water molecules, giving rise to

[^0]a three-dimensional network structure composed of edge-sharing four-membered, fivemembered, six-membered, and fourteen-membered rings. Synthesis and structure determination of the title compound 2 (replacing the phenyl rings in 1 by cyclohexyl rings) was undertaken as part of our systematic study of hydrated tertiary amine $N$-oxides. Our principal aim was to investigate how variations of $N, N^{\prime}$-disubstitution in the piperazine ring would affect the structural chemistry and hydrogen bonding features of 1 .

## 2. Experimental

All reagents or solvents used are general purpose grade. Proton NMR spectra were recorded on a Brucker Cryospec WM250 ( 250 MHz ) spectrometer. Deuterated methanol was used as solvent and $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ was measured with TMS as an internal standard. Mass spectra were recorded on a VG Micromass 70-70F spectrometer. Solid-state IR spectra were recorded on a Beckman AccuLab 1 spectrometer, and the KBr discs were prepared according to the standard procedure [2].

### 2.1. SYNTHESIS

The preparation of $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylpiperazine, 3 , was carried out according to the scheme of Ionescu, [3], but the procedure of product isolation was modified. A mixture of cyclohexylamine ( 6 mL ), $\mathrm{NaOH}(20 \mathrm{~g})$ and dibromoethane ( 21 mL ) was refluxed at $90-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for about 6 hours. The product was extracted with chloroform and washed thrice with about 200 mL of $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$. After the chloroform was removed by evaporation, the product ( $46.4 \%$ yield) was recrystallized from $60 \%$ ethanol.

The oxidation of 3 to 2 was effected by the procedure of Bennett [4]. Compound 3 was dissolved in a mixture of glacial acetic acid and hydrogen peroxide ( $30 \%$ aqueous). The mole ratio of 3 : acetic acid : hydrogen peroxide was $1: 24.0: 12.6$. The mixture was kept at $50-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for about 16 hours. After removing the excess hydrogen peroxide and acetic acid, the crude product was dissolved and recrystallized from water at $\sim 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ several times. Well-formed crystals of 2 were obtained from slow evaporation of an aqueous solution in a desiccator containing silica gel placed in a refrigerator.

IR of 2 ( KBr disc), $3215-3440 \mathrm{sbr}, 2940-2960 \mathrm{~s}, 2880 \mathrm{~s}, 1710 \mathrm{~m}, 1480 \mathrm{~m}, 1462 \mathrm{~m}, 1455 \mathrm{~m}$, $1442 \mathrm{~m}, 1398 \mathrm{~m}, 1360 \mathrm{~m}, 1338 \mathrm{~m}, 1310 \mathrm{~m}, 1190 \mathrm{w}, 1050 \mathrm{w}, 1025 \mathrm{w}, 1010 \mathrm{~m}, 980 \mathrm{~s}, 962 \mathrm{~s}, 932 \mathrm{~s}$, $900 \mathrm{~m}, 858 \mathrm{w}, 822 \mathrm{~m}, 775 \mathrm{~s}, 700-738 \mathrm{sbr}, 670 \mathrm{~s}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $1.18-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.97$ $(\mathrm{d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.35(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.17(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.05(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz})(\mathrm{ppm}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}), 281.9(0.6 \%), 266.0(2.2 \%), 250.0(3.4 \%), 235.0$ ( $11.7 \%$ ), 167.0 ( $23.2 \%$ ), 138.0 ( $11.3 \%$ ), 85.1 ( $18.2 \%$ ), 83.1 ( $45.4 \%$ ), 82.0 ( $87.3 \%$ ), 67.1 (100\%) 56.1 ( $100 \%$ ).

### 2.2. X-RAY DATA COLLECTION

The density was measured by flotation in $n$-hexane/carbon tetrachloride. A single crystal was cut to a suitable size and attached directly to a glass fiber with epoxy glue. Determination of the crystal class and orientation matrix, on a Nicolet R3m diffractometer using MoK $\alpha$ radiation, was performed according to established procedures [5]. Accurate unit-cell dimensions were derived from a least-squares fit of the refined angular settings of 21 high-angle reflections. Intensity data were collected at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following established procedures in our laboratory [6, 7]. The crystal remained stable throughout the diffraction

Table I. Data collection and processing parameters.

| Molecular formula | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Molecular weight | 426.54 |
| Cell parameters | $a=12.961(4) \AA \quad \beta=98.37(2)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $b=11.533(4) \quad V=1169.3(6)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=7.907(1) \quad Z=2, F(000)=471.9$ |
| Density (exptl.) | $1.24 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ (flotation in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4} / n$-hexane) |
| Density (calcd.) | $1.211 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ |
| Space Group | C2/m (No. 12) |
| Radiation | graphite-monochromatized $\mathrm{Mo}_{\alpha}, \lambda=0.71069$ A |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.92 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |
| Crystal size | $0.44 \times 0.40 \times 0.24 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Mean $\mu \mathrm{r}$ | 0.016 |
| Transmission factors | 0.926 to 0.945 |
| Scan type and speed | $\omega-2 \theta ; 2.02-8.37 \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ |
| Scan range | $1^{\circ}$ below $K \alpha_{1}$ to $1^{\circ}$ above $K \alpha_{2}$ |
| Background counting | stationary counts for one-half of scan time at each end of scan range |
| Collection range | $h, k, \pm l: 2 \theta_{\max }=60^{\circ}$ |
| Unique data measured | 1515 |
| Observed data with $\left\|F_{0}\right\|>3 \sigma\left(\left\|F_{0}\right\|\right), n$ | 1192 |
| Number of variables, $p$ | 70 |
| $R=\Sigma\| \| F_{0}\left\|-\left\|F_{c}\right\|\right\| / \Sigma\left\|F_{0}\right\|$ | 0.045 |
| Weighting scheme | $w=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\left\|F_{0}\right\|\right)+0.0012\left\|F_{0}\right\|^{2}\right]^{-1}$ |
| $\left.R_{\text {w }}=\left[\Sigma w\left\|F_{0}\right\|-\left\|F_{c}\right\|\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{1}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 0.065 |
| $S=\left[\Sigma w\left(\left\|F_{0}\right\|-\left\|F_{c}\right\|\right)^{2} /(n-p)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 1.395 |
| Residual extrema in final difference map | +0.24 to $-0.26 e \AA^{-3}$ |

experiment as two standard reflections monitored every 125 data measurements showed only random fluctuations within $\pm 1 \%$ of their mean values. The raw intensities were processed with the learnt-profile procedure [8], and absorption corrections were based on a pseudo-ellipsoidal fit to the azimuthal scans of selected strong reflections over a range of $2 \theta$-values. The statistical distribution of the normalized structure factors was consistent with that expected for a centrosymmetric space group. The data collection and processing are summarized in Table I.

### 2.3. STRUCTURE DETERMINATION AND REFINEMENT

Structure solution was achieved by direct phase determination guided by negative quartets [9]. All non-hydrogen atoms were varied anisotropically in structure refinement. The methylene H atoms were generated geometrically ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length fixed at $0.96 \AA$ ) and assigned isotropic thermal parameters. Water H atoms, some of which exhibited two-fold disorder, were located from successive difference Fourier maps; they were assigned isotropic temperature factors and held stationary in subsequent least-square cycles. Computations were performed on a Data General Corporation Nova $3 / 12$ minicomputer using the SHELXTL program package [10]. Analytic expressions of neutral-atom scattering factors were employed, and anomalous dipersion corrections were incorporated [11]. Blocked-cascade least-squares refinement [12] converged to the $R$ indices listed in Table I. The numbering of atoms in the asymmetric unit is shown in Figure 1, and the refined atomic parameters are given in Tables II-IV.


Fig. 1. Numbering of atoms in the asymmetric unit of 2 . The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $25 \%$ probability level.

Table II. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic temperature $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for the non-hydrogen atoms in the asymmetric unit of $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylpiperazine $N, N^{\prime}$ dioxide octahydrate (2).

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide |  |  |  |  |
| O(1) | 880(1) | 0 | 2612(2) | 39(1) |
| N(1) | 1087(1) | 0 | 933(2) | 32(1) |
| C(1) | 584(1) | 1046(1) | 22(2) | 36(1) |
| C(2) | 2271(1) | 0 | 863(2) | 33(1) |
| C(3) | 2782(1) | 1087(1) | 1694(2) | 44(1) |
| C(4) | 3957(1) | 1081(1) | 1604(2) | 49(1) |
| C(5) | 4480(2) | 0 | 2410(3) | 53(1) |
| Water molecule |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (2) | 981(1) | 1967(1) | 4475(1) | 54(1) |
| 0 (3) | 2793(1) | 1229(1) | 6759(2) | 64(1) |

[^1]Table III. Anisotropic thermal parameters ${ }^{\text {a }}\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for non-hydrogen atoms of $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylpiperazine $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide octahydrate (2).

| Atom | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{23}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{12}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $41(1)$ | $42(1)$ | $35(1)$ | 0 | $10(1)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)$ | $33(1)$ | $29(1)$ | $35(1)$ | 0 | $5(1)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $38(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $43(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $31(1)$ | $34(1)$ | $35(1)$ | 0 | $7(1)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $38(1)$ | $39(1)$ | $54(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $38(1)$ | $47(1)$ | $62(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $-8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $37(1)$ | $62(1)$ | $59(1)$ | 0 | $-1(1)$ | 0 |
| Water molecules |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $46(1)$ | $50(1)$ | $67(1)$ | $-12(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $-5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $69(1)$ | $49(1)$ | $69(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2} \Sigma \Sigma U_{i j} h_{i} h_{j} \mathbf{a}_{i}^{*} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}^{*}$.

## 3. Discussion

In the crystal structure of 2 , the $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide molecule occupies a site of symmetry $2 / \mathrm{m}$. A two-fold rotation axis (parallel to $b$ ) passes through the mid-points of the two carboncarbon bonds in the central piperazine ring, so that the $\mathrm{C}(5), \mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(2)^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{C}(5)^{\mathrm{b}}$ atoms and the two $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds lie in the same mirror plane. The bond lengths and bond angles of 2 are tabulated in Table V. The measured $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}$ dative bond length of $1.392(2) \AA$ is in good agreement with the corresponding values in $N, N^{\prime}$-diphenylpiperazine $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide octahydrate, $1\left[1.387(2) \AA\right.$ ] [1], the $1: 3$ molecular complex of triethylenediamine $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide

Table IV. Hydrogen atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and thermal parameters ${ }^{\text {a }}\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right.$ ) for $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylpiperazine $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide octahydrate (2).

| Atom |  | $y$ | $z$ | $U$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide |  |  |  |  |
| H(1A) | 734 | 1050 | -1131 | 44 |
| H(1B) | 867 | 1732 | 602 | 44 |
| H(2) | 2365 | 0 | -319 | 40 |
| H(3A) | 2472 | 1759 | 1110 | 53 |
| H(3B) | 2679 | 1112 | 2871 | 53 |
| H(4A) | 4270 | 1749 | 2196 | 57 |
| H(4B) | 4058 | 1114 | 426 | 57 |
| H(5A) | 4437 | 0 | 3611 | 61 |
| H(5B) | 5199 | 0 | 2244 | 61 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Water molecules | 921 | 1339 | 3804 | 70 |
| HO(2A) | 1360 | 2445 | 4158 | 70 |
| HO(2B)* | 413 | 1973 | 4784 | 70 |
| HO(2C)* | 2794 | 3527 | 3920 | 70 |
| HO(3A) | 1818 | 3306 | 3351 | 70 |
| HO(3B)* | 2174 | 4456 | 3437 | 70 |
| HO(3C)* |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Table V. Bond distances ( $\AA$ ), bond angles (deg), and selected torsion angles (deg) in $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylpiperazine $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide octahydrate (2).

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.392(2) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.505(1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.544(2) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.522(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {b }}$ | 1.509(2) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.535(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.514(2) | $\mathrm{O}(2) \ldots \mathrm{O}(3)^{\text {d }}$ | 2.874(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)$ | 2.875(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2.698(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)^{\text {e }}$ | 2.788(2) | $O(3) \cdots(3)^{\text {a }}$ | 2.834(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 109.0(1) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 111.4(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 110.3(1) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 110.9(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {a }}$ | 106.7(1) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)^{\text {a }}$ | 111.0(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {b }}$ | 112.0(1) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $111.8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 110.4(1) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-(4)^{\text {a }}$ | 110.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 121.3(2) | $\mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)^{\text {d }}$ | 89.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)^{\mathrm{d} \cdots} \mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 136.4(3) | $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)^{\text {a }}$ | 114.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)$ | 93.7(3) | $\mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)^{\text {e }}$ | 120.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)^{\text {d }}$ | 114.1(3) | $\mathrm{O}(3)^{\mathrm{d} \cdots} \mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 132.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)^{\text {a }}$ | 107.2(3) | $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)^{\text {e }}$ | 100.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 59.3(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | -61.9(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {b }}$ | -60.5(1) | $\mathrm{C}(1)^{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {b }}$ | 57.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)^{\text {a }}$ | 55.3(2) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | -179.6(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -56.1(2) | $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 56.6(2) |

Symmetry transformations:

| $\mathrm{a} x,-y, z ;$ | $\mathrm{b}-x, y,-z ;$ | $\mathrm{c}-x,-y,-z ;$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{d} \frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}-y, 1-z ;$ | $\mathrm{e}-x, y, 1-z ;$ | $\mathrm{f} \frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, 1-z ;$ |
| $\mathrm{g} x, 1-y, z:$ | $\mathrm{h}-x, 1-y, 1-z ;$ | $\mathrm{i}-\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}+y, z ;$ |
| $\mathrm{j}-\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z ;$ | $\mathrm{k}-x,-y, 1-z$. |  |

Table VI. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths in some related amine derivatives

| Compound | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length $(\AA)$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N, N^{\prime}$-diphenylpiperazine $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide octahydrate | 1.387(2) | [1] |
| $N, N^{\prime}$-di(o-tolyl)piperazine $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide tetrahydrate | 1.402(2) | [25] |
| $N, N^{\prime}-\mathrm{di}(p$-tolyl)piperazine $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide tetrahydrate | 1.392(2) | [25] |
| $N, N^{\prime}$-di( $p$-chlorophenyl)piperazine $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide tetrahydrate | $1.395(1)$ | [25] |
| $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$ <br> $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ <br> $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ <br> $\mathrm{ON}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NO} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ <br> $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.388(5) \\ & 1.398(1) \\ & 1.425(11) \\ & 1.363(6) \\ & 1.376(6) \\ & 1.395(8) \\ & 1.453(3) \\ & 1.411(2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[26]} \\ & {[14]} \\ & {[27]} \\ & {[16]} \\ & {[28]} \\ & {[13]} \\ & {[29]} \\ & {[30]} \end{aligned}$ |

with water [1.395(8) $\AA$ ] [13], and trimethylamine $N$-oxide dihydrate [1.398(1) $\AA$ ] [14]. The N - O bond lengths in some related amine derivatives are listed for comparison in Table VI. The $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ bond $[1.505(1) \AA$ ] is apparently longer than the corresponding bonds in piperazine hexahydrate [1.459(4) $\AA$ ] [15]. This may be rationalized by the fact that the formally positive $N(1)$ atom in 2 induces its neighbouring $C(1)$ atom to rehybridize so as to shift more $p$-character into its hybrid atomic orbital towards $\mathrm{N}(1)$ [16]. All the covalent bonds in 2 are arranged in nearly staggered conformations according to the values of torsion angles shown in Table V .

From Figure 2, it can be seen that the water molecules and the O atoms of the $N$-oxide groups are connected by hydrogen bonding in the pattern of a puckered layer composed of edge-sharing four-membered (type $A$, Figure 3), five-membered (type $B$ involving one $N$-oxide group, Figure 3), six-membered (type $C$ involving the $N$-oxide groups of two $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide molecules, Figure 3), and eight-membered rings (type $D$, Figure 3). Ring $A$ is centrosymmetric, ring $B$ has symmetry $m$, whereas the other two have symmetry $2 / m$. The water protons which are not involved in hydrogen bonding with the $N$-oxide groups are two-fold disordered.

This puckered layer, which is parallel to the $a b$ plane, may be considered as composed of two novel types of edge-sharing, ribbon-like arrangements of hydrogen bonded rings (Figure 2). One ribbon-like arrangement is formed by four- and five-membered hydrogen bonded rings ( $A$ and $B$ respectively), and the other type by six- and eight-membered hydrogen bonded rings ( $C$ and $D$ respectively). Both of them extend parallel to the $b$ axis, and the puckered layer is generated by arranging these ribbons alternately and side-by-side normal to the $a$ direction. Adjacent puckered layers are further cross-linked by the $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylpiperazine moieties lying between them, thereby generating a three-dimensional network structure consolidated by covalent and hydrogen bonding. The resulting structure (Figure 4) bears a close resemblance to the 'sandwich' structure of the tetrahydrates of


Fig. 2. Stereodrawing showing a puckered layer in the crystal structure of 2 . The origin of the unit cell lies at the lower left corner, with $a$ pointing upwards, $c$ away from the reader, and $b$ from left to right. The hydrogen bonds are represented by broken lines.


Fig. 3. Geometries of the four-, five-, six-, and eight-membered hydrogen bonded rings of a puckered layer in 2. The symmetry transformations are as given in Table V.

2,5-dimethyl-2,5-hexanediol [17] and 2,7-dimethyl-2,7-octanediol [18], in which layers of puckered edge-sharing pentagons (formed by the water and hydroxyl groups) are separated by the hydrocarbon chains.

Compound 2, like that of $\mathbf{1}$ [1], is a novel type of hydrate inclusion compound in which the host lattice is constructed of water molecules and the O atoms of $N$-oxide groups. In view of the polar nature of the $N$-oxide bond, the host framework bears a partial negative charge, which may be considered as filling the gap between the neutral water lattices in the gas hydrates[19-21] and the negatively charged water-anion lattices in the peralkylated onium salt hydrates [19-24]. The guest species in 2 are the $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylpiperazine moieties, so that the dominant host-guest interaction comprises coordinate covalent $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}$ bonding.

Although 1 and 2 have the same stoichiometry, the difference in size between the phenyl and cyclohexyl groups leads to very different crystal structures. In 1 the $N, N^{\prime}-$ diphenylpiperazine moiety lies within a void in a three-dimensional hydrogen bonded framework formed by the water molecules and the $N$-oxide groups, whereas in 2 there are insufficient water molecules to enclose the $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylpiperazine portion of the guest species. A comparison of the principal types of hydrate inclusion compounds in terms of host-lattice composition and host-guest interaction is given in Table VII.
Table VII. Hydrate inclusion compounds differing in host-lattice composition and host-guest interaction

| Hydrate | Host lattice | Crystal structure | Guest species | Host-guest interaction | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 46 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | water molecules water molecules | clathrate clathrate-like framework | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\ & \left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \end{aligned}$ | van der Waals hydrogen bonding and van der Waals | $\begin{aligned} & {[31]} \\ & {[32]} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{OH}^{-} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | water molecules and hydroxide ions | clathrate | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$ | ionic and van der Waals | [33] |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOO}^{-} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | water molecules and acetate ions | layer | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$ | ionic and van der Waals | [23] |
| 2,5-dimethyl-2,5- <br> hexanediol <br> tetrahydrate | water molecules and hydroxyl groups | sandwich | $-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}-$ <br> moiety | convalent and van der Waals | [17] |
| Compound 1 | water molecules and N -oxide groups | clathrate-like framework | $N, N^{\prime}$-diphenylpiperazine moiety | coordinate covalent (partially ionic) and van der Waals | [1] |
| $N, N^{\prime}$-diarylpiperazine $N, N^{\prime}$-dioxide tetrahydrate (aryl = $o$-tolyl, $p$-tolyl, $p$-chlorophenyl) | water molecules and N -oxide group | layer | $N, N^{\prime}$-diarylpiperazine mojety | coordinate covalent and van der Waals | [25] |
| Compound 2 | water molecules and $N$-oxide groups | sandwich | $N, N$ 'dicyclohexylpiperazine moiety | coordinate covalent and van der Waals | This work |



Fig. 4. Stereodrawing of the crystal structure of 2. The origin of the unit cell lies at the lower left corner, with $a$ pointing upwards at a slant, $b$ towards the reader, and $c$ from left to right. The hydrogen bonds are represented by broken lines.
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[^0]:    * Author for correspondence.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Equivalent isotropic temperature factor $U_{\text {eq }}$ defined as $1 / 3$ of the trace of the orthogonalized $U$ matrix.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The exponent of the isotropic temperature factor $U$ takes the form $-8 \pi^{2} U \sin ^{2} \theta / \lambda^{2}$. Asterisk indicates half site occupancy.

